UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

HARVEY J. KESNER,

Plaintiff,

20 Civ. 3454 (PAE)

-V-

DOW JONES & COMPANY, INC. d/b/a BARRON'S, WILLIAM "BILL" ALPERT, and TERI BUHL,

Defendants.

<u>ORDER</u>

PAUL A. ENGELMAYER, District Judge:

The Court has received a voicemail message from counsel for terminated defendants

Dow Jones & Co., Inc. and William Alpert inquiring whether the Court intends to issue a partial judgment as to these defendants. The answer is no. Plaintiff Harvey Kesner has not moved for a partial judgment pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(b). And partial judgments are disfavored in the Second Circuit. *See, e.g., Ginett v. Comput. Task Grp., Inc.*, 962 F.2d 1085, 1093 (2d Cir. 1992) (federal policy "disfavors 'piecemeal' appellate litigation"); *Hogan v. Consol. Rail Corp.*, 961 F.2d 1021, 1025 (2d Cir. 1992) (federal courts are "empowered to enter a final judgment as to fewer than all of the parties in an action, but 'only upon an express determination that there is no just reason for delay" (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b))). The Court is unaware of any basis for an exception to that policy here.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 1, 2021

New York, New York

United States District Judge

Paul A. Engloyer